1489

Novel Quinone-type Acceptors fused with Sulphur Heterocycles and their Highly Conductive Complexes with Electron Donors

Yoshiro Yamashita,^a Takanori Suzuki,^a Gunzi Saito,^b and Toshio Mukai*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Sendai 980, Japan

^b Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Roppongi, Tokyo 106, Japan

p-Benzoquinone derivatives fused with sulphur-containing heterocycles are strong electron acceptors and some of their charge transfer complexes with electron donors show high electrical conductivities.

Electron acceptors containing sulphur atoms¹ are rare and of interest since the inter-stack interaction in the complex states which suppresses the Peierls-transition may be increased by the incorporation of sulphur atoms. On the other hand, 1,3-dithiol rings have not been used as electron acceptor moieties, probably because TTF (tetrathiafulvalene) and its derivatives containing 1,3-dithiol rings are well known as electron donors. We have found that 1,3-dithiol rings substituted with electron withdrawing groups have good electron affinities. We report here the properties of *p*-benzoquinones fused with sulphur-containing heterocycles such as 1,3-dithiols.

Quinone (1) (m.p. > 397 °C) was synthesized by oxidation of the corresponding hydroquinone.² Quinones (2)—(10) were synthesized according to the literature.^{2—4} The reduction potentials measured by cyclic voltammetry are shown in Table 1. The first reduction potentials of *p*-benzoquinone, naphthoquinone, anthraquinone, chloranil, and 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyanobenzoquinone (DDQ) measured under the same conditions are -0.53, -0.71, -0.95, +0.01, and +0.51 V vs. s.c.e., respectively. This result shows that the sulphur-heterocycles work as strong electron-withdrawing rings. It should be noted here that quinones (1)—(5) fused with bis-heterocycles are stronger electron acceptors than chloranil. The semiquinone formation constants shown in Table 1 indicate that the anion radicals of (1)-(10) are thermodynamically stable. In fact, reactions of (1), (2), (4), and (5) with iodides such as N-methylpyridinium (NMPy⁺) iodide gave the corresponding anion radical salts $[\lambda_{max}]$ of the NMPy⁺ salts (MeCN), NMPy+-(1)⁻⁻ 476 nm (log ε 4.16), 465 (4.14), 444 sh (4.09), 384 (4.78), 369 sh (4.56), 308 (3.89), 277 (4.10), 267 (4.02), 261 (3.99), 232 (4.35), 220 sh (4.25); NMPy+-(2) - 489 (4.05), 443 (4.10), 387 (4.75), 319 sh (3.64), 305 sh (3.70), 278 (4.06), 266 (4.09), 259 (4.12), 255 sh (4.10), 237 (4.28), 215 (4.25);



Table 1. Reduction potentials^a and semiquinone formation constants of quinones.

Quinone	E_1/V	E_2/V	$\log K_{\rm sem}^{\rm b}$
(1)	+0.30	-0.44	12.96
(2)	+0.14	-0.60	12.76
(3)	+0.05	-0.70	12.93
(4)	+0.27	-0.39	11.38
(5)	+0.04	-0.66	12.07
(6)	-0.28	-0.93	11.21
(7)	-0.37	-1.05	11.72
(8)	-0.42	-1.10	11.72
(9)	-0.23	-0.81	10.00
(10)	-0.41	-1.12	12.24

Table 2. Electrical resistivities a of the complexes b of quinones with donors.

Donor/Quinone	(1)	(2)	(4)	(5)
TIT	4.4×10^{2}	9.9	2.5×10^{5}	1.8×10^{2}
TTF	1.3×10^{4}	$7.0 imes10^4$	7.2×10^{3}	1.7×10^{3}
TMTTF	3.5×10^{3}	5.7×10	1.2×10^{4}	3.2×10^{5}
TMTSF	1.1	2.4	3.0×10^{2}	C
BEDT-TTF	1.3×10^{3}	2.1×10^{4}	4.7×10^{3}	c
BMDT-TTF	$1.0 imes 10^{3}$	2.2×10^{3}	7.1×10^{3}	c
TTM-TTF	2.0 imes 10	$4.5 imes 10^{5}$	9.7	c
DBTTF	$4.1 imes 10^4$	3.1×10^{6}	10	c

^a 0.1 M Et₄NClO₄ in MeCN, Pt electrode, scan rate: 100 mV s⁻¹; E vs. standard calomel electrode (s.c.e.). ^b log K_{sem} values were calculated as $(E_1 - E_2)/0.058$.

^a Measured at room temperature on compressed pellets by two-probe technique. The electrical resistivity of the TTF-tetracyanoquino-dimethane(TCNQ) complex measured by this method was 0.37. ^b 1:1 molar ratios based on elemental analyses. ^c No attempt.

NMPy+-(4)-764 (3.81), 466 (3.57), 267 sh (4.37), 256 (4.42), 238 (4.41), 220 (4.32); NMPy⁺-(5)₂⁻⁻ 736 (3.56), 430 (3.81), 405 (3.83), 357 sh (3.79), 296 (4.70), 281 (4.74), 269 sh (4.69), 216 (4.46)]. Quinones (1), (2), (4), and (5) gave charge transfer complexes with electron donors. The electrical resistivities of the complexes are shown in Table 2. Some of the complexes show high electrical conductivities, indicating that quinones (1), (2), and (4) are promising electron acceptors to form organic metals.

Received, 9th June 1986; Com. 785

References

- 1 N. F. Haley, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1977, 207; N. F. Haley, ibid., 1979, 1030; M. Nakatsuka, K. Nakasuji, I. Murata, I. Watanabe, G. Saito, T. Enoki, and H. Inokuchi, Chem. Lett., 1983, 905; K. Kobayashi, ibid., 1985, 1511; Y. Yamashita, T. Suzuki, T. Mukai, and G. Saito, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 1044.
 K. Klemm and B. Geigen, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1969, 726, 103.
- 3 N. G. Demetriadis, S. J. Huang, and E. T. Samulski, Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 2223.
- 4 K. Fickentscher, Arch. Pharm., 1969, 302, 285.